Case 2:15-cv-00057-CAS-JC Document 1 Filed 01/05/15 Page 1 of 22 Page ID #:1

Marilyn Gladle VS U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs COMPLAINT FOR DISCRIMINATION & CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS Marilyn Gladle VS U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Marilyn Gladle, Pro Se Page 1 of 17 425 Canon Crest St Los Angeles, CA 90065 Phone: 323-225-0163 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2015 JAN -5 PM 4: 06 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DIST. OF GALVE. LOS ANGELES DIVISION LOS ANGELE Marilyn Gladle BY:____ Case No. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED **Plaintiff COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL RIGHTS &** U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs TITLE VII DISCRIMINATION, AND Defendant INTENTIONAL VIOLATION THE Robert A McDonald, Secretary **REHABILATION ACT of 1973** Defendant AND MOTION FOR STAY OF Stephen R. Bauman, Acting Director PROCEEDINGS PENDING EEO Defendant BETTE LANE TURZAN & MARY MOORE 42 USC 1988 - The Civil Rights Attorneys Fees Act Defendant **Complaint For Vindication of Civil Rights** COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION, AGE DISCRIMINATION, RETALIATION, CIVIL HARASSMENT, HOSTILE WORK **ENVIRONMENT. & DISCRIMINATION IN FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT** 1. INTRODUCTION - NATURE OF THE CASE This civil action is brought by Marilyn Gladle, an American citizen, and federal employee complaining of illegal discrimination practices, Retaliation, and Civil Rights Violations, which acts were perpetrated by the defendants in violation of 42 U.S.C. §2000e-16 and for unlawful employment practices which violate acts prohibited by federal law. Plaintiff further brings this action based on Federal law and schemes to intentionally deprive plaintiff of her civil rights protected under federal law, and for discriminatory terms and conditions of employment. requested includes a declaratory judgment, monetary damages, damages for intentional infliction of emotional distress, damages for civil rights violations, punitive damages, front pay, back pay, attorney fees, court cost and all other costs to make plaintiff whole from defendants corrupt acts.

II. JURISDICTION & VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this civil action pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-16, The Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. §701 et seq. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e-5(f)(3) and 5 U.S.C. § (370)(b)(2); as Plaintiff was employed by the United States Veteran's Administration, in the Central 武就trict of California at the time of the complaint. Plaintiff's employment records are maintained by the VETERANS ADMINISTRATION ('VA") in this judicial district, and decisions adverse to The laintiff's employment that are the subject of this civil action were made in this judicial district.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2**6**

2₮

III. PARTIES & RELATIONSHIPS 1 is/are a natural persons residing in this judicial district. 3. Plaintiff. Marilyn Gladle 2 Plaintiff is a citizen of the United States and Registered Nurse employed by the Veterans 3 Administration for over 21 years. She is complaining inter alia of extreme civil rights violations discrimination in employment, retaliation in employment, hostile work environment and 4 discriminatory terms and conditions of employment. 5 6 **U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs** A U.S. federal employer Defendant, 7 and agency of the United States. At all times pertinent defendant U.S. Department of Veterans Affair ("VA") participated in a scheme to deprive plaintiff of valuable employment benefits. 8 Defendants VA can be served at the following address for service: 9 **United States Attorney's Office** 10 **Central District of California** 312 North Spring Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90012 11 Robert A McDonald, Secretary 12 A natural person and Secretary Defendant. of the division of U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. At all times pertinent defendant was acting in 13 his personal as well as official capacity as Secretary. 14 15 Stephen R. Bauman, Acting Director 11301 Wilshire Blvd 16 Los Angles, Ca. 90073 17 Stephen R. Bauman, Acting Director Defendant, 18

is a natural person and citizen of this state and eployee of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. At all times pertinent defendant was acting in his personal as well as official capacity as Secretary. Defendant is a state actor and was acting individually at all times pertinent. Defendant can be served at:

> **MARY MOORE** 11301 Wilshire Blvd **Building 208** Los Angles, Ca. 90073

Defendant, BETTE LANE TURZAN & MARY MOORE are natural persons and citizen of this state and are employees of the U.S. Department of Veterans affairs. At all times pertinent defendant was acting in his personal as well as official capacity as Secretary. Defendant is a state actor and was acting individually at all times pertinent. Defendant can be served at:

> **BETTE LANE TURZAN** 11301 Wilshire Blvd **Building 111B** Los Angles, Ca. 90073

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Case 2:15-cv-00057-CAS-JC Document 1 Filed 01/05/15 Page 3 of 22 Page ID #:3

Marilyn Gladle VS U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs COMPLAINT FOR DISCRIMINATION & CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS

Marilyn Gladle VS U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

Page 3 of 17 TABLE OF CONTENTS Introduction.....Page 1 II. Jurisdiction and Venue......Page 1 III. Causes of Action...... Page 3 COUNT 1:Page 3 COMPLAINT FOR DEPRIVATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS (42 USC §1983) COUNT 2:Page 3 CONSPIRACY TO INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS (42 USC §1985) COUNT 3: CIVIL ACTION FOR NEGLECT TO PREVENT INTERFERENCE WITH CIVIL RIGHTSPage 3 (42 USC §1986). COUNT 4:Page 3 COMPLAINT FOR HOSTILE & ABUSIVE WORKING ENVIRONMENT COUNT 5:Page 3 COMPLAINT FOR RETALIATION AND CLAIM FOR REPRISAL FOR ENGAGING IN PROTECTED ACTIVITIES) COUNT 6: COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE REHABILATION ACT of 1973,Page 3 29 U.S.C. § 710 et seq. COUNT 7: COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENTPage 3 28 U.S.C. §2201 - §2202. IV. Parties & Relationships...... Page 4 V. Factual Allegations & Background Information...... Page 7 VI.Page 8 DEFENDANT UNITED STATES VETERAN ADMINISTRATION & THE INDIVIDUAL NAMED DEFENDANTS HAVE INTENTIONALLY, AND MALICIOUSLY EMBARRKED UPON A MALICIOUS CAMPAIGN TO DEPRIVE PLAINTIFF OF HER CIVIL RIGHTS PROTECTED VII.UNDER FEDERAL LAW AS PART OF ITS RETALIATION AND HARRASMENT SCHEMES, DEFENDANT UNITED STATES VETERAN ADMINISTRATION & THE INDIVIDUAL NAMED DEFENDANTS HAVE INTENTIONALLY, AND MALICIOUSLY EMBARRKED UPON A MALICIOUS CAMPAIGN TO DEPRIVE PLAINTIFF OF HER CIVIL RIGHTS PROTECTED UNDER FEDERAL LAW Page 10 AS PART OF ITS RETALIATION AND CONSPIRACY TO "COVER UP" ITS SCHEMES, DEFENDANT UNITED STATES VETERAN ADMINISTRATION & THE INDIVIDUAL NAMED DEFENDANTS HAVE INTENTIONALLY, AND MALICIOUSLY EMBARRKED UPON A MALICIOUS CAMPAIGN TO DEPRIVE PLAINTIFF OF HER CIVIL RIGHTS PROTECTED UNDER FEDERAL LAWPage 11 DEFENDANTS CONTINOUS ACTS OF DENIAL OF PROMOTIONS, TRAININING, AND OTHER VALUABLE EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND EMPLOYEES OVER THE AGE OF 40 CONSTITUTES A PATTERN OF AGE DISCRIMINATION AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND EMPLOYEES OVER THE AGE OF 40 Extreme & Outrageous Conduct......Page 15 Χ. Computation of Damages.....Page 16 XI. Prayer For Relief...... Page 17 XII. Service of ProcessATTACHED Appendix of Exhibits......ATTACHED

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Page 5 of 17

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

5.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 5.2 EXHIBIT "A" STATEMENT OF FACTS is incorporated herein by reference as if full setforth herein.
- 5.3 Plaintiff Marily Gladle, is an American citizen over the age of forty (40), is/was a career federal employee with over twenty-five years of experience before being discriminated by the Department.of Veterans Administration (hereinafter "VA").
- During her employment and at the same time of her defendants failed attempts to terminate her employment the Plaintiff worked as a Registered Nurse within at the Veterans Hospital for over 21 years.
- 5.5 Since being at employee, defendants have treated her differently from similarly situated employees and was overheard to be setting in motion a plan that would terminate the employment but by fraudulent means
- 5.6 Plaintiff was being denied employment opportunities, including promotions, pay raises, opportunities for advancement in training,
- 5.7 During the course of time, and while in the employment of defendants, certain individuals in supervisory positions started to have a distinctive hate for the plaintiff, and subsequently embarked upon a viscous, and malicious campaign that was designed to discriminate, retaliate against the plaintiff, and to deprive her of opportunities that are are being offered to other employees, but not to plaintiff.
- 5.8. Plaintiff applied for, and was qualified certain for positions but was not selected for the position.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS - CON'T

Page 6 of 17

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Robert A McDonald, Secretary

Stephen R. Bauman, Acting Director

BETTE LANE TURZAN & MARY MOORE

- 6.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each allegation contained in each aforementioned paragraph and attached Exhibit "A" as set forth herein.
- 6.2 Overtime defendants built up a special "hate" for plaintiff and started treating plaintiff in many disrespectful manners, especially in fair conditions of employment and the VA's hate sooner was fueled by constant denial of employment opportunities and lack of personal and professional respect normally offered by the VA to other employees.
- 6.3 The name defendants continued to embark on a campaign that would ultimately deprive plaintiff of opportunities as described in Exhibit A, attached herein and made a part hereof.
- 6.4 After complaining to the appropriate supervisor and individuals responsible for plaintiff, these defendants started to retaliate against plaintiff for the protected activity in which she complained, namely the discrimination complained of herein.
- 6.5 Defendant even started to discriminate against plaintiff with regards to certain accomodations, and grossly neglected to offer even the most basis accomodations to plaintiff which resulted in hardship and harassment in employment.
- 6.6 Rather than address the complaints made in Exhibit A, defendants convened in a conspiracy to perpetrate fraud against the plaintiff by filing false progress reports, false employee evaluations, and making negative unsupported comments in report, all of which was done at the malicious detriment of the plaintiff.

Case 2:15-cv-00057-CAS-JC Document 1 Filed 01/05/15 P. Marilyn Gladle vs U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs COMPLAINT FOR VII. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS - CO	
The following <u>nonexclusive list</u> of unlawful acts were con	
named herein against the plaintiff, and the subject property, in s	support, and furtherance of
the conspiracy and/or violations complained of herein, plaintiff all	eges as follows:

Marilyn Gladle VS U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

VIII. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF COUNT 1:

Page 8 of 17

COMPLAINT FOR DEPRIVATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS (42 USC §1983)

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Stephen R. Bauman, Acting Director

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Robert A McDonald, Secretary BETTE LANE TURZAN & MARY MOORE

DEFENDANT UNITED STATES VETERAN ADMINISTRATION & THE INDIVIDUAL NAMED DEFENDANTS HAVE INTENTIONALLY, AND MALICIOUSLY EMBARRKED UPON A MALICIOUS CAMPAIGN TO DEPRIVE PLAINTIFF OF HER CIVIL RIGHTS PROTECTED UNDER FEDERAL LAW

- 1.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each allegation contained in each aforementioned paragraph and attached Exhibit "A" as thoughtfully set forth herein.
- 1.2 Defendant's malicious and unlawful acts have deprived plaintiff of certain rights protected under 42 USC 1983.
- 1.3 Each one of the defendants are public actor who participated in the acts complained of, which acts have deprived plaintiff of employment opportunities, and other valuable benefits which defendants, and each of them have intentionally deprived the plaintiff of these benefits as a means to harass, to embarrass plaintiff and to as a means of discouraging plaintiff from apply for such benefits.

Marilyn Gladle VS U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

IX. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF **COUNT 2:**

Page 9 of 17

CONSPIRACY TO INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS (42 USC §1985)

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Robert A McDonald, Secretary

Stephen R. Bauman, Acting Director

BETTE LANE TURZAN & MARY MOORE

AS PART OF ITS RETALIATION AND HARRASMENT SCHEMES, DEFENDANT UNITED STATES VETERAN ADMINISTRATION & THE INDIVIDUAL NAMED DEFENDANTS HAVE INTENTIONALLY, AND MALICIOUSLY EMBARRKED UPON A MALICIOUS CAMPAIGN TO DEPRIVE PLAINTIFF OF HER CIVIL RIGHTS PROTECTED **UNDER FEDERAL LAW**

- 2.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each allegation contained in each aforementioned paragraph and attached Exhibit "A" as thoughtfully set forth herein.
- 2.2 The acts complained of herein constitutes a conspiracy to interfere with civil rights in violation of 42 USC 1985.
- 2.3 t is specifically alleged herein that the defendants conspired among themselves to violate 28 U.S.C. Sec (3) §1985 by committing the said unlawful and illegal acts against the named plaintiff, including the acts described in Exhibit A..
- 2.4 The defendants, all of them, went in disguise on the premises of another, for the purpose of depriving directly or indirectly, the plaintiff, of the equal protection of the laws, or of equal privileges and immunities under the laws of the United States of America for the sole purpose of depriving plaintiffs equal protection of Title VII and other state and federal laws.
- 2.5 Plaintiff further assert that the conspiracies complained of herein also violate conspiracy laws under Title VII of the Civil Rights Acts of 1964, as amended.
- 2.6 The plaintiff alleged herein that the said conspiracies also constituted civil conspiracies in violation of law.

X. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Page 10 of 17

CIVIL ACTION FOR NEGLECT TO PREVENT INTERFERENCE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS

<u>(42 USC §1986).</u>

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Stephen R. Bauman, Acting Director

Robert A McDonald, Secretary

BETTE LANE TURZAN & MARY MOORE

AS PART OF ITS RETALIATION AND CONSPIRACY TO "COVER UP" ITS SCHEMES, DEFENDANT UNITED STATES VETERAN ADMINISTRATION & THE INDIVIDUAL NAMED DEFENDANTS HAVE INTENTIONALLY, AND MALICIOUSLY EMBARRKED UPON A MALICIOUS CAMPAIGN TO DEPRIVE PLAINTIFF OF HER CIVIL RIGHTS PROTECTED UNDER FEDERAL LAW

- 6.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each allegation contained in each aforementioned paragraph and attached Exhibit "A" as thoughtfully set forth herein.
- 6.2 It is specifically alleged that the VA knew or should have known, that it had a responsibility to prevent the interference of plaintiff's civil rights but neglected to do so, in violation of 42 USC 1986.
- 6.3 Each and every time that plaintiff reported corrupt activity, these defendants, including the supervisors under the plaintiff, ignored the complaints, and rather than address the complaint, they would simply "sweep it under the rug" and proceed to discriminate against the plaintiff.
- 6.4 The malicious and illegal campaign included but is not limited to:
 - 1. All of the matters complained of in Exhibit A.
 - 2. The matters complained of in this complaint,
 - 3. Disability discrimiantion,
 - 4. Retaliation for complaining about protected acitivity
 - 5. Discriminatory terms in promotions and advancement.
 - 6. Abusive and Hostile Working Environments

XI. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Page 11 of 17

COUNT 4: COMPLAINT FOR HOSTILE & ABUSIVE WORKING ENVIRONMENT

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs
Stephen R. Bauman, Acting Director

Robert A McDonald, Secretary

BETTE LANE TURZAN & MARY MOORE

DEFENDANTS CONTINOUS ACTS OF DENIAL OF PROMOTIONS, TRAININING, AND OTHER VALUABLE EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND EMPLOYEES OVER THE AGE OF 40 CONSTITUTES A PATTERN OF AGE DISCRIMINATION AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND EMPLOYEES OVER THE AGE OF 40

- 6.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each allegation contained in each aforementioned paragraph and attached Exhibit "A" as thoughtfully set forth herein.
- 6.2. The foregoing paragraphs are realleged and incorporated by reference herein
- 6.3. The Defendant's conduct as alleged above constitutes hostile and abusive working environment in violation of Title VII, Rehab Act, and the ADEA.
- 6.4 The stated reasons for the Defendant's conduct were not the true reasons, but instead were pretext to hide the Defendant's discriminatory act against plaintiff.
- 6.5 It is specifically alleged that the Defendant VA has committed all of the acts described in previous paragraphs in this complaint and those acts described in Exhibit A attached to this complaint.

XII. FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Page 12 of 17

COUNT 5:

COMPLAINT FOR RETALIATION

AND CLAIM FOR REPRISAL FOR ENGAGING IN PROTECTED ACTIVITIES)

DEFENDANT RETALIATORY ACTS AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF CONSTITUTES RETALIATION, IN VIOLATION OF TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

Robert A McDonald, Secretary

Stephen R. Bauman, Acting Director

BETTE LANE TURZAN & MARY MOORE

- 6.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each allegation contained in each aforementioned paragraph and attached Exhibit "A" as set forth herein.
- 6.2 Once plaintiff started to complain about the discriminatory terms and conditions of employment, she immediately began to experience harassment and retaliation from here supervisors and management.
- 6.3 These harrassments were in many forms and caused a hostile working environment and existed up until the filing of this lawsuit.
- 6.4 Plaintiff alleges that the retaliation was reprisals for engaging in protected activity.

Action of Marilyn Gladle

COMPLAINT FOR DISCRIMINATION & CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS

XIII. SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Page 13 of 17

COUNT 6: COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE REHABILATION ACT of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 710 et seq.

DEFENDANT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS ADMINISTRATION AND THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS ARE LIABLE FOR ITS CONTINOUS FAILURE TO ACCOMODATE PLAINTIFF WITH THE BARE REQUIREMENTS FOR REHALIBATION MANDATED BY THE ACT

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Robert A McDonald, Secretary

Stephen R. Bauman, Acting Director

BETTE LANE TURZAN & MARY MOORE

- 6.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each allegation contained in each aforementioned paragraph and attached Exhibit "A" as thoughtfully set forth herein.
- 6.2 Defendants, and each of them, including the VA has discriminated against plaintiff by denying her reasonable accommodation for her disabilities, including occupational stress, in violation of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973,29 U.S.C. § 701 et seq., as amended.
- 6.3 The VA, and the named defendants has conducted themselves intentionally, deliberately, willfully, and in callous disregard of the rights of plaintiff.
- 6.4 By reason of the VA's discrimination, Plaintiff is entitled to all legal and equitableremedies available under the Rehabilitation Act.
- 6.5. Attorney fees should be awarded under 29 U.S.C. § 794(a) (1).

XIV. SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Page 13 of 17

COUNT 7: COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT 28 U.S.C. §2201 - §2202.

PLAINTIFF IS ENTITLED TO A GENERAL DECLARATORY JUDGMENT DECLARING ALL OF DEFENDANT EVIL AND MALICIOUS ACTS TO BE UNLAWFUL IN FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT

- 7.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each allegation contained in each aforementioned paragraph and attached Exhibit "A" as set forth herein.
- 7.2 Plaintiff is entitled to a declaratory judgment declaring all of the said complained of to be unlawful in nature.
- 7.3 Plaintiff is entitled to separate declaratory judgments for each cause of action complained of herein, declaring the said acts to be illegal in federal employment.

Action of Marilyn Gladle

Page 15 of 17

EXTREME AND OUTRAGEOUS CONDUCT

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Robert A McDonald, Secretary

Stephen R. Bauman, Acting Director BETTE LANE TURZAN & MARY MOORE

The preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference. Plaintiff's reallege, as if fully set forth herein, each allegation above. Defendant's fraudulent conduct, the conspiracies, the embezzlement, the fraud, <u>forgery</u>, <u>grand theft of property</u>, and other despicable acts constitute Extreme and Outrageous conduct, and was <u>done with</u> <u>malice</u>, and with intent to cause knowledge that it would cause, and in fact has caused, severe mental and physical distress and <u>economic loss to the plaintiff</u>.

Defendant's flagrant, willful disregard for the law, the false deeds, and other false instruments and other despicable conduct, is **Extreme & Outrageous**, and should be punished accordingly, with **punitive** and/or exemplary damages. **Defendants were unjustly enriched**.

Regarding the conspiracy complained of herein, plaintiff asserts that if it is found that one or more or all of the defendants did not actively participate in the said illegal acts and conspiracy, then it is alleged that said defendants had knowledge of the conspiracy, the power to prevent, or aid in the prevention of the conspiracy, and <u>refused to do so</u>.

That, in the alternative and in the event that this court finds that there was no conspiracy between the defendants named herein to collectively harass or defraud the plaintiff, plaintiff alleges that one, or more, or <u>all of the defendants</u> named herein <u>independently committed the unlawful acts</u> described herein, which acts resulted in fraud, theft, <u>the loss of valuable property rights</u>, <u>deprivation and violation of plaintiff's</u> rights, privileges, and immunities guaranteed under state law, Common laws and laws of the State of California

Action of Marilyn Gladle

٦,

COMPLAINT FOR DISCRIMINATION & CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS

PUNITIVE DAMAGES -AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

1.1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Robert A McDonald, Secretary

Page 15 of 17

Stephen R. Bauman, Acting Director

BETTE LANE TURZAN & MARY MOORE

The preceding paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated herein by reference.

Plaintiff's reallege, as if fully set forth herein, each allegation above. Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive or exemplary damages as a matter of law. The many unlawful and unconscious able acts complained of herein, the conspiracies contemplated between the defendants, the wrongful fraud scams, the many conversions complained of, the intentional willful debt harassment, embezzlement, fraud, prosecution of legal action for debts not owed to them, the reckless disregard for law, inter alia, and for other unlawful offenses committed against him including the ppression, the deliberate infliction of mental and emotional distress, physical and mental pain upon him, the wrongful and unjust frivolous collections actions, debt harassment, the reckless, wanton, careless and deliberate disregard for her civil rights, and for other reason at law, Plaintiff are entitled to recover punitive damages, in addition to actual damages. Defendants and each of them should be punished as a matter of law for the despicable acts complained of herein because defendant's conduct was egregiously invidious and was further done with full knowledge and with the intent to harm the plaintiffs.

It would serve the public justice to award punitive damages against the defendants, against each of them individually, and collectively.

Action of Marilyn Gladle

COMPLAINT FOR DISCRIMINATION & CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS

Case 2:15-cv-00057-CAS-JC Document 1 Filed 01/05/15 Page 17 of 22 Page ID #:17

Marilyn Gladle VS Marilyn Gladle COMPLAINT FOR DISCRIMINATION & CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS

Marilyn Gladle VS Marilyn Gladle

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Page 14 of 17

XIV. COMPUTATION OF DAMAGES

14.1 As a result of the despicable acts described herein, plaintiff(s) Marilyn Gladle is (are) entitled to recover the following damages: \$3,000,000.00 1. Punitive Damages \$1,000,000.00 2. Actual Damages.... \$300,000.00 3. Damages for FRAUD.... \$300,000.00 Negligent Misrepresentation....-\$500,000.00 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress & Pain..... \$500,000.00 6. Restitution for Conspiracy....-\$500,000.00 7. Compensentatory Damages..... 8. Treble Damages..... \$900,000.00 \$500,000.00 Embarrassment, Humiliation, & Anxiety..... 10. Restitution for UNJUST ENRICHMENT \$300,000.00 \$300,000.00 11. Restituoin for Unlawful RETALIATION \$250,000.00 12. Restitution for CIVIL CONSPIRACY \$500,000.00 13. Restitution for Gross Negligence \$2,000,000.00 14. Restitution for FRONT PAY \$3,000,000.00 15. Intentional Infliction of PHYSICAL INJURIES \$250,000.00 Tortious Interference With A Contract \$500,000.00 17. Restitution for LIBEL and libelous matter in employment files \$300,000.00 Restitution for Violation of THE REHABILATION ACT of 1973, 18. \$1,000,000.00 Restitution for Civil Rights Violations 19. \$300,000.00 **Restitution for Disparate Treatement** 20. \$100,000.00 Retitution for BACK PAY 21. \$16,300,000.00 Total Damages..... SIXTEEN MILLION THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND

Marilyn Gladle VS U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

COMPLAINT FOR DISCRIMINATION & CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS

XV PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Page 16 of 17

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s)

Marilyn Gladle

demands judgment against

defendants as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. Plaintiff's pray for judgment on Claim 1, that the court declare that defendants, and each of them violated plaintiff's civil rights by DEPRIVATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS and also violated 42 USC §1983), and,
- 2. Plaintiff pray for judgment on Claim 2, that the court declares that defendants, and each of them, conspire to violate and did violate 42 USC §1985 and further wrongfully and unlawfully perpetrated a CONSPIRACY TO INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS of plaintiff, and,
- 3. Plaintiff pray for judgment on Claim 3, that the court declares that defendants, and each of them, violated plaintiff civil rights by its outright NEGLECT TO PREVENT INTERFERENCE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS (42 USC §1986) while being employed by the defendants, and.
- 4. Plaintiff pray for judgment on Claim 4, that the court declares that defendants, and each of them, discriminated against plaintiff because of here AGE, in violation of 29 USC§ 621, et. seq.
- 5. Plaintiff pray for judgment on Claim 5, that the court declares that defendants, and each of RETALIATED against plaintiff in violation of 42 USC 2000(e),
- 6. Plaintiff pray for judgment on Claim 6, that the court declares that defendants, and each of them violated THE REHABILATION ACT of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 710 et seq
- 7. A Promotion to the highest GS Level Available;
- 8. Front Pay of \$500,000.00
- 9. A Money Judgment in the full amount of \$28 Million \$16,300,000.00, trebled, plus costs, and interest from the date of judicial demand.

WHEREFORE, ALL PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff pray that this Honorable Court after due proceeding, and all legal delays, do enter judgment against the defendants jointly, severely, and in solido, condeming them all, for the said unlawful acts in the full amount of: \$16,300,000.00

SIXTEEN MILLION THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND

including court cost, attorney fees, interest from the date of judicial demand and for all general and equitable relief afforded under law.

Respectfully Submitted

Meuly Herly Pro Se

Marilyn Gládle, Pro Se 425 Canon Crest St Los Angeles, CA 90065 Phone: 323-225-0163 **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

JURY TRIAL: PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY.

1 '

VERIFICATION
I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof. The same is true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe it to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed at
Marilyn Gladle
DATED: January 05, 2015
VERIFICATION

IS 44 (Rev. 09/1 1)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required bylaw, except as provided by local rules of court. This form approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SHEINSIRUCTIONS ONNEVT PAGE OF THISFORAIL.)

1. (a) PLAINTIFFS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DEFENDANTS	t of Votorono	Affaira		
Marilyn Gladle					ment of Veterans			
-					Donald, Secretar			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				Stephen R.	Bauman, Acting D	Director		
(F)	XCEPT IN U.S. PL4INTIFF CAS	SES)		BETTE LANE TURZAN & MARY MOORE				
(C) Attorneys (Firm Name, Ac	ddress, and Telephone Number)	i		County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant			
Marilyn Gladle, Pro Se 425 Canon Crest St				1	(IN U.S. PL41NTIFF CASES C	ONLY) ASES, USE THE LOCATION OF		
Los Angeles, CA 90065				NOTE	THE TRACT OF LAND INVOL	VED.		
Phone: 323-225-0163				Attorneys (If Known)				
()								
11. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Pl,,e,n'X" in	One Box Only)	111. C	ITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an'X'' in One BoxforPlainbfi) and One Boxfor Defendant)		
□ 1 U.S. Government □ 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	PTF DEF Citizen of This State PTF DEF Citizen of This State PTF DEF Of Business In This State					
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	n ofParties in Item 111)	Citiz	en of Another State	2			
				ten or Subject of a Greign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	0606		
W NATURE OF SUIT				SODEEITHDE DENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
CONTRACTS		RTS PERSONAL INJUR		FORFEITURE PENALTY 2~) Drug Related ~,eizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375,False Claims Act		
☐ I I 0 Insurance	PERNONAL INJIL RY 31 0 Airplane	□ 365 Personal Injury	.	ofProperty 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	y 🗆 69	90 Other	28 USC 157	41 0 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 15 0 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/			PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce		
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury	- 1		820 Copyrights 830 Patent	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Person			840 Trademarks	Corrupt Organizations		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product			ACCIAL OF CURITY	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV		
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability	oz.	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITI	850 Securities/Commodities/		
☐ 15 3 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER	K1), □ 7	10 Fair Labor Standards Act	zio2 Black Lung (92)	Exchange		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		20 Labor∧4gmt. Relations	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts		
190 Other Contract	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	12	40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical	865 RSI (405(g))	893 Environmental Matters		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damag	e	Leave Act	1	8 95 Freedom of Information		
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc.	İ	Act 896 Arbitration		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		Security Act	FEDERAL STATUTES	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure		
0	440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	o . 			870 TAXES (U.S PLAINTIFF or Defendant	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision		
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	Sentence Habeas Corpus:	- 1		8 71 IR S-Third Party	950 Constitutionality of		
☐ 23 0 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	530 General			26 USC 7609	State Statutes		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION LAW	1			
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	540 Mandamus & O		63 Habeas Corpus -				
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	555 Prison Condition	1	Alien Detainee				
	Other 448 Education	560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	П 4	(Prisoner Petition) 65 Other Immigration				
	448 Education	Confinement		Actions				
□ 1 Original □ 2 Rep					ferred from			
Proceeding Sta		Appellate Court		pened (speci (Do not citejurisdictional st	7)			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON THE REHABIL. Brief description of ca		973,	(Do not citejurisdictional st				
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTIO	N	DEMANDS \$16,300,000.00	•	if demanded in complaint: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI		es.		+ 10,000,000,00	JOHN DEMINIO.			
IF ANY	2(3) (See Histractions).	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY	OF RECORD				
DAIB					,			
POD OFFICE USE ON Y	A	1116	nn-	057				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	G	CIA	VV	UUI				
american (f	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		YUDGE	XIAG. JU	DGE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

QUESTION A: Was this case removed from state court?	STATE CASE WAS PENDIN	G IN THE COUN	TY OI	F.		INITIAL DIVIS	ION IN CACD I	S:
☐ Yes ☑ No	Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo				Western			
If "no, " skip to Question B. If "yes," check the box to the right that applies, enter the	Orange					Southern		
corresponding division in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.	Riverside or San Bernardino					Eastern		
	B.1. Do 50% or more of the defendants of the district reside in Orange Co.? Check one of the boxes to the right	who reside in		YES. Your ca Enter "South from there.	ise w iern"	ill initially be assigned in response to Question	to the Southe on E, below, an	rn Division. d continue
Yes 🗌 No	enear one of the obligation and right			NO. Continu	ie to	Question B.2.		
if "no, " skip to Question C. If "yes," answer Question B.1, at right.	B.2. Do 50% or more of the defendants of the district reside in Riverside and/or San Counties? (Consider the two counties to	Bernardino		YES. Your ca Enter "Easter from there.	ise w	ill initially be assigned response to Question	to the Eastern E, below, and	Division. continue
	check one of the boxes to the right		NO. Your case will initially be assigned to the Western Division. Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.					
	C1. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs wh	o reside in the	T	VEC V		ill initially be assigned	to the Southe	rn Division
QUESTION C: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a DEFENDANT in this action?	district reside in Orange Co.?			YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Southern Division. Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.				
☑ Yes ☐ No	check one of the boxes to the right			NO. Continue to Question C.2.				
	C.2. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs who reside in the district reside in Riverside and/or San Bernardino Counties? (Consider the two counties together.)		YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.					
	check one of the boxes to the right	→		NO. Your ca Enter "Weste from there.	se w ern" i	ill initially be assigned n response to Questio	to the Westeri n E, below, and	n Division. I continue
QUESTION D: Location of plaintiff	's and defendants?	Oran	A. ige C	ounty		B. Riverside or San rnardino County	C. Los Angeles Santa Barba Luis Obispo	ra, or San
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or reside. (Check up to two boxes, or leave	more of <i>plaintiffs who reside in this dis</i> blank if none of these choices apply.	trict)					C	<u> </u>
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or district reside. (Check up to two boxes, capply.)	more of <i>defendants who reside in this</i> or leave blank if none of these choices	5					L	
				la Alagua ad	. laa	st one answer in C	dump P2	
D.1. Is there at least one			υ.z.	, is there at		Yes No	Juliili D:	
Yes	12 No			ا	_		l to the	
If "yes," your case will initia	If "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the EASTERN DIVISION.							
SOUTHERN D	Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below.							
Enter "Southern" in response to Question	If "no," your case will be assigned to the WESTERN DIVISION.							
lf "no," go to questio	n D2 to the right.	"				esponse to Question I		1
QUESTION E: Initial Division?	李基德特霍特。 墨				IALI	DIVISION IN CACD		
Enter the initial division determined by (Question A, B, C, or D above:	West	er	<u>ں</u>				
QUESTION F: Northern Counties?	TEECET T					136131		
Do 50% or more of plaintiffs or defenda	nts in this district reside in Ventura, Sa	anta Barbara, d	or Sa	n Luis Obisț	00 C	ounties?	∕es ᠘ᠰ	10

CV-71 (10/14) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 3

Case 2:15-cv-00057-CAS-JC Document 1 Filed 01/05/15 Page 22 of 22 Page ID #:22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

		CIVIL COVER SHEET	•	
X(a). IDENTICAL CA	SES: Has this ac	tion been previously filed in this court?	 NO NO	☐ YES
If yes, list case num	ber(s):			
X(b). RELATED CASE	:S : Is this case re	lated (as defined below) to any civil or criminal case(s) previously file	d in this court? NO	☐ YES
If yes, list case num	ber(s):			
Civil cases are re	lated when they	(check all that apply):		
A. Arise	e from the same	or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;		
B. Call t	for determinatio	n of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and	fact; or	
C. For c	other reasons wo	uld entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges	s .	
Note: That cases	may involve the	same patent, trademark, or copyright is not, in itself, sufficient to dec	em cases related.	
A. Arise	e from the same for determinatio	ninal case are related when they (check all that apply): or a closely related transaction, happening, or event; on of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and		
labor if K. SIGNATURE OF AT OR SELF-REPRESENT	TORNEY FED LITIGANT	: Marely Stadla, for Se	DATE: > \ 05	on contained herein
neither replaces nor sup nore detailed instruction	piements the fili ns, see separate	ng and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, excepinstruction sheet (CV-071A).	n as provided by local ru	inco or court 1 or
Key to Statistical codes rela	ting to Social Secu	ity Cases:		
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of	f the Social Security Act. as a	amended. Also.
861	HIA	include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))	s providers of services unde	er the program.
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coa	l Mine Health and Safety Ac	t of 1969. (30 U.S.C.

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))

CV-71 (10/14)

CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 3 of 3